APPENDIX

SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES STRATEGY TECHNICAL METHODOLOGY MEMORANDUM
June 6, 2018

Mary Nichols
Chair
California Air Resources Board
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95812

SUBJECT: San Joaquin Council of Governments Greenhouse Gas Emissions Technical Quantification Methodology for the Development of Sustainable Communities Strategy as part of the 2018 Regional Transportation Plan

Ms. Nichols:

Please find enclosed the technical methodology that the San Joaquin Council of Governments (SJCOG) intends to use for estimating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions for the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS), and if necessary, the Alternative Planning Strategy (APS), in compliance with the requirements of the Senate Bill 375 (SB 375).

SJCOG intends to adopt a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) with an SCS in the summer of 2018 that will meet established per capita GHG emission reduction targets.

Attachment 1 (SJCOG Technical Methodology) presents an overview of the SCS development process, including public participation and input, underlying data development, and technical modeling and approach used to estimate GHG emissions reductions resulting from the anticipated adoption of SCS by SJCOG.

Please contact Ryan Cordero Niblock of my staff should you have any questions on the technical methodology presented in this document.

Sincerely,

Andrew T. Chesley
Executive Director
SAN JOAQUIN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
TECHNICAL METHODOLOGY

SB 375 requires that the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) submit to the Air Resources Board a description of the technological methodology that it intends to apply in the SCS, or APS if applicable.

The technical methodology described in this document satisfies the requirements of SB 375 and is consistent with the original Regional Targets Advisory Committee (RTAC) target setting process, as well as the ARB target revision process initiated in 2016. For the 2018 RTP/SCS, SJCOG will be modeling 2005 as the base analysis year and 2020 and 2035 as the target analysis years for the purposes of SB 375. In addition, RTP horizon year 2042 will also be modeled.

SB 375 TARGETS:

Current applicable SB 375 targets for each MPO in the San Joaquin Valley are a 5 percent per capita reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2020, and a 10 percent per capita reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2035. The Valley MPOs (along with other MPOs across the state) have been working with ARB staff to update these targets, however, new targets have yet to be finalized. In a December 2016 report to ARB, the Valley MPOs outlined the various SCS achievements that went beyond existing SCS commitments, as well outlined various challenges to GHG reductions that lie beyond an MPO’s control (such as economic recovery and reduction in automobile operating costs). At the December 14, 2017 ARB meeting, ARB staff highlighted those challenges, and stated that their intention is to refocus the SB 375 process only towards those elements that an SCS can address. Per the ARB staff presentation, workshops are to be held in early 2018 to reshape SB 375 target setting and SCS evaluation. However, given the timing of 2018 RTP/SCS development, the Valley MPOs must proceed with the current applicable targets of 5 percent per capita reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2020, and a 10 percent per capita reduction in GHG emissions by the year 2035. The Valley MPOs are excited to be working with ARB staff on a refocusing of target setting and SCS evaluation, and will work directly with ARB staff to strive for success under SB 375 as the updates are finalized.

SCS DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY:

Over the past three years, SJCOG in collaboration with the other San Joaquin Valley MPOs, local jurisdictions and interested stakeholders, has been developing an RTP/SCS that seeks to meet SB 375 targets. The process began with updating the necessary modeling tools and developing underlying data and assumptions that would later become part of the scenario evaluation process. Consistent with the San Joaquin County public participation plan, a rigorous public participation process was initiated to solicit input from the public and stakeholders on policies and strategies for the RTP/SCS, including those with potential to reduce GHG emissions, and associated metrics to measure plan performance. Four “scenarios” (packages of transportation investment strategies and land-use assumptions) were evaluated during the outreach process, resulting in a “preferred” set of foundational assumptions that are the basis for draft RTP/SCS that will be considered for adoption by the SJCOG Board in the summer of 2018.
Scenario Modeling

The technical methodology to quantify GHG emissions for the 2018 RTP/SCS is based on the Valley Model Improvement Program 2 (VMIP2) model for the three-county area, ARB’s EMFAC2014 emission factor model, and off-model adjustments, as necessary, for certain strategies that VMIP2 model does not capture.

The VMIP2 update was initiated in response to ARB feedback received during the technical evaluation of the Valley’s first round of SCSs. SJV MPOs contracted with Fehr & Peers to update the travel models originally developed through the Model Improvement Program (MIP), funded by Proposition 84 funds, in 2012. The updated model will be used to estimate vehicle miles travelled (VMT) resulting from implementation of the SCS scenario and the alternatives.

In addition, SJCOG is using ARB’s emission modeling software EMFAC2014 to complete SB 375-related emissions analyses.

Public Participation

The technical methodology as well as all other elements of the SJCOG SCS will be subject to San Joaquin Council of Government’s public participation plan and outreach requirements, including a minimum 55-day review process, when the draft RTP/SCS is released in the first quarter of 2018.

The most recent version of the public participation plan was adopted by the SJCOG Board on December 15, 2016. The full text of the public participation plan is available on SJCOG’s website at http://www.sjcog.org/127/Public-Participation-Plan.

Scenario Selection

SJCOG’s comprehensive community engagement process was designed to solicit input from stakeholders and community members on priorities for the region’s long-term transportation future. The overall community engagement process is continuous, coordinated, and comprehensive, with public input for current and future RTPs being received through regular discussions. During development of the 2018 RTP/SCS, over 5,000 community members were engaged through a wide variety of venues, including informational presentations, booths at public events, on-line through the Metro Quest engagement platform, at public workshops, and through a targeted mini-grant program for traditionally underserved communities. Through this process, the foundational elements of the 2018 RTP/SCS – policies, projects, investment strategies, and land-use assumptions were evaluated according to the preferences and priorities for San Joaquin County citizens and stakeholders. Outreach to stakeholder groups, the public and elected officials will continue during the 55-day public review period for the 2018 RTP/SCS.

The 2018 RTP/SCS analyzed by the environmental document will be based on “Scenario 2A,” which builds upon the previous “Scenario C Enhanced” that became the basis of the 2014 RTP/SCS. This scenario, refined during the 2014 outreach process, represented an ambitious choice for SJCOG: on a scale from “A” to “D”, where Scenario A was the least ambitious and Scenario D was the most ambitious in terms of SB 375 strategy implementation, SJCOG selected
a scenario that combined elements of the two most ambitious scenarios – for this reason, this preferred scenario was ultimately named “Scenario C Enhanced.” Since the approach to the 2018 RTP/SCS was a focused update with an emphasis on implementation, the ambitious 2014 Plan scenario was carried forward as scenario 2A in the 2018 outreach process.

Additional information regarding the SJCOG planning process can be located at the following link http://www.sjcog.org/275/RTP-Sustainable-Communities-Strategy.

SOCIOECONOMIC DATA:

The base year 2015 is used in the modeling of the SJCOG 2018 RTP/SCS. The distribution of the 2015 population and household data was updated by SJCOG staff using both 2010 Census, 2015 American Community Survey, and California Department of Finance data. The 2015 employment data was updated by SJCOG staff using the U.S. Census Bureau Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) data set. The final 2015 base year data distribution was adjusted based on review of aerial photography, local knowledge, and meetings with local planning departments.

SJCOG contracted with the University of the Pacific Center for Business & Policy Research to develop population, housing and employment forecasts for the San Joaquin region for use in the 2018 RTP/SCS. The forecast methodology can be accessed at the SJCOG website here: http://www.sjcog.org/DocumentCenter/View/3722. These forecasts were provided at the Census Designated Place (CDP) level to inform the distribution of the overall countywide forecasts. The forecasts were vetted with local jurisdictions in San Joaquin County and adjusted based on local knowledge of future development projects that may not have been accounted for in the initial forecasts.

The forecast numbers were provided from 2015 to 2060, in five-year increments. A summary of forecasts for San Joaquin County is proved below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2042</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td>726,106</td>
<td>775,819</td>
<td>947,835</td>
<td>1,050,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Households</td>
<td>233,055</td>
<td>246,715</td>
<td>299,495</td>
<td>330,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Employment</td>
<td>234,862</td>
<td>256,022</td>
<td>299,919</td>
<td>319,949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MODELS AND TOOLS:

SJCOG will utilize the following tools to estimate GHG emissions for the 2018 RTP/SCS, each of which are described in more detail below:

(1) Scenario Planning/Land Use Model  
(2) MIP Travel Model  
(3) EMFAC 2014 Emissions Factor Model  
(4) Off-Model Adjustments

Off model emission reductions will be quantified if deemed appropriate in the final 2018 RTP/SCS.
considered for adoption. Should additional refinement of the underlying scenario assumptions or metrics be indicated during the review period, the type of strategies indicated and the methodology describing off-model quantification will be provided as part of the final RTP/SCS documentation.

Scenario Planning/ Land Use Model

Scenario modeling allows evaluation of the impacts of the RTP/SCS policies on regional land use. In particular, the scenario planning approach is a way to explore what it would take to achieve the revised SB 375 per capita emissions targets. Scenario modeling tools use building blocks that describe the different types of land uses that exist within the metropolitan area or are planned for the future. The output of the scenario modeling tools forms the fundamental input to the MIP transportation model.

Envision Tomorrow

Figure 1 – Envision Tomorrow

Envision Tomorrow is a suite of scenario planning tools that tests different land-use and transportation options. It consists of two primary tools: a Prototype Builder and a Scenario Builder, which work in unison to develop scenarios.

The Prototype Builder is a “return on investment” (ROI) spreadsheet tool that can be used to determine the physical and financial feasibility of development. This tool allows the user to examine land use regulations in relation to the current development market and consider the impact of various factors, such as parking, height requirements, construction costs, rents and subsidies. The Prototype Builder also considers inputs such as physical building characteristics, parking layout and costs, and other development costs such as landscaping, site acquisition, etc. Stakeholder input is utilized to create building types and development types so that the scenarios reflect existing conditions as well as possible future conditions. Building and development types
can be created to represent the development aspirations of the community.

The Scenario Builder is a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) based application that lets the user “paint the landscape” by allocating various, created development types across a study area to create unique land use scenarios. The tool then allows real-time evaluation of each scenario through a set of user-defined indicators. The indicators measure such things as the scenario’s impact on land use, housing, sustainability, transportation and economic conditions. General plans, specific plans, community plans, zoning maps, Assessor’s parcel data information, and environmental constraints, if any, are all inputs into the Scenario Builder tool. The growth forecast is allocated—by the user—to locations as desired in this tool.

Once the coordinated land use/transportation scenario is developed the output of that process is converted into transportation model inputs and run through the MPO MIP travel demand model to estimate vehicle miles traveled attributable to the MPO scenarios.

It is important to note that the output of the scenario planning tool does not yield VMT estimates. As described in the MIP Travel Model section below, the MIP process created standardized land-use input categories across all eight San Joaquin Valley MPOs. These standardized categories ensure consistent transportation modeling of household and employment types across all eight MPOs that yields a consistent process to estimate vehicle miles traveled (VMT).

Model Improvement Program (MIP) Travel Model:

Model Development

Beginning in 2010, the eight MPOs began a joint process to improve their travel demand modeling capabilities to help meet SB 375 requirements. This process, known as the San Joaquin Valley Model Improvement Program (MIP) was funded by a $2.5 million Strategic Growth Council Proposition 84 grant. Between 2010 and 2012, staff from each of the eight MPOs participated in monthly meetings with a team of technical consultants to upgrade the models and modeling processes. To enhance coordination efforts, staff from the Air Resources Board and the University of California Berkeley listened in on the monthly MIP meetings of the MPOs and technical consultants.

The MIP effort resulted in the delivery of substantially upgraded and standardized travel demand models to the MPOs in the summer of 2012. The new travel models were designed to better evaluate the types of land-use and transportation policies likely to be considered in the RTP/SCSs. Sensitivity to changes in land use and travel estimates was enhanced compared to previous models by – (i) refining each models’ traffic analysis zone (TAZ) system to better capture mixed-use and transit oriented development; (ii) incorporating additional socioeconomic variables such as housing units by building type, household income, housing density, employee by detailed sector, and employment density; and (iii) adding a vehicle ownership component and improved sensitivity to travel characteristics.

In addition, the MIP resulted in the standardization of model software, inputs, and methodologies between the eight MPOs. The new models employ a common software package called CUBE,
which will enhance the MPOs’ ability to share data and resources with each other, as well as coordinate on model improvement and training efforts.

Improvements made to the model input data and each of the key components of the travel demand models (see Figure 2) include: vehicle ownership, trip generation, trip distribution, mode choice, and trip assignment, are discussed in more detail in the following section.

Subsequently, in 2014, a minor update to the models developed in 2012 began, known as VMIP 2. VMIP2 takes advantage of the 2010 Census, the most recent American Community Survey, the 2012-2013 California Household Travel Survey data, and enhances the model structure developed as part of the VMIP1. In addition to the updated data, VMIP2 implements changes to the model structure based on ARB feedback received. Model improvements that specifically address ARB’s comments include the following:

- Auto ownership was updated to account for land use accessibility (auto, transit, walk, bike) and commute cost as a percentage of household income.
- Trip generation rates were revised depending on area type and accounting for the accessibility of land uses. Area type is recalculated with each model run to account for land use changes between scenarios.
- Trip distribution was updated to include correlation between household income and job salary for home-work trips.
- Mode choice was updated based on demographics from the latest household travel survey data (household size, income, autos owned) and incorporates average vehicle occupancy by purpose.
- In addition to counts and VMT, the model peak period contested locations was compared to observed NPMRDS data provided by FHWA.

Other key enhancements to model sensitivity and usability include:

- Land Use: simplified residential and employment categories
- Socio-economic: employee salary and household income relationship for home-work trips
- Interregional Travel: updated based on the newly released California Statewide Transportation Demand Model, and based on place and purpose, rather than having internal and interregional travel combined and distributed based on time/cost of travel
- Modified Assumptions: adjustments to employment density, intersection density, and access to jobs and houses
**Data Input:** The MIP models feature improved TAZ systems, socioeconomic data, land use and travel network characteristics. Improvements to the TAZ systems are designed to help capture more detailed travel movements throughout the region, which allows for more precise analysis of land use and smart growth effects. An updated version of the trip based Caltrans statewide traffic model was developed to help forecast interregional and intraregional trips. Improvements to socioeconomic, land use and transportation network data in the models better account for differences in vehicle ownership and trip generation factors, as well as standardize categories across the eight SJV MPOs.

**Vehicle Ownership:** The MIP model calculates the number of motor vehicles in a region based on demographic characteristics, auto operating cost, and accessibility. The output of this component is a critical input to the trip generation step, helping to capture the economic characteristics of each household. For VMIP 2, the vehicle operating cost was updated to include maintenance and operations costs based on feedback from ARB.
Trip Generation: The trip generation component estimates the number of person-trips for each activity, such as traveling to-and-from work, school, shops, and social/recreational events. The new models estimate person trips based on demographic and employment characteristics, increasing their capability to analyze the effect of socioeconomic factors on trip rates. Further, the new models increase the number of trip purposes from the typical three or five to eleven\(^1\). This change allows to distinguish the potential for alternative modes such as school and college trips. The new models also improve the trip generation step by allowing trip rates to vary by income, household size, the number of workers in a household, drivers, and vehicle ownership. This provides better information about regional travel patterns. For VMIP2, trip generation factors were updated to reflect the built environment and area type factors, and home-work trips were grouped by income range.

Trip Distribution: Trip distribution estimates the number of trips from one travel zone to each of the other travel zones in the county. The new models improve the sensitivity of changes to land use on trip distribution by better reflecting the attributes that influence a person’s decision to travel. The MIP model provides the capability to consider additional factors such as trip purpose, person travel time by all modes, travel cost, congestion, and vehicle ownership. For VMIP2, trip distribution was updated to match household income and job salary and to better reflect interregional travel at a local scale.

Mode Choice: The Three-County MIP has an inbuilt mode choice model. This component is used to predict the probability of selecting a travel mode (e.g., auto, transit, bike and walk) for each trip in the region based on the income of the trip maker, the travel cost, time and accessibility of other modes, and improves the travel models’ responsiveness to socioeconomic characteristics, land use, pricing and parking strategies. The mode choice model includes seven travel modes with a separate mode choice for walk and bike.

Trip Assignment: The trip assignment component estimates traffic volumes and travel times for each roadway in the network. The new models enhance the trip assignment component by including a new feedback mechanism between the trip assignment and the number of autos to enhance the ability to address induced travel demand. The feedback mechanism inputs congested travel times into the model, which helps to account for travelers who change their travel route and mode in response to congestion.

Model Calibration and Validation: A calibration and validation report for the MIP travel model will be part of SJCOG’s final RTP/SCS submittal to ARB in the summer of 2018.

In model calibration, each component of the model is calibrated to ensure that it produces accurate forecasts. Calibration is an iterative process where model settings are adjusted so the output of the model matches observed travel patterns.

Static validation is that process where the model is tested to ensure that the model output matches available traffic counts and roadway speeds. As part of the static validation process, elements of trip generation, trip distribution and traffic assignment modules may be adjusted.

---

\(^1\) The additional trip purposes includes home-based K-12, home-based college, highway commercial, trucks-small, trucks-medium, and truck-heavy.
Dynamic model validation tests the model to determine how well it responds to change. Dynamic testing includes testing the changes to the following:

- Household location, density, diversity and other household attributes
- Employment location
- Roadway network
- Transit service

The MPOs performed calibration for each component of the model following the Federal Highway Administration and Caltrans guidelines, to ensure that the models produce reasonable forecasts. Model validation, a critical step in the development of any regional travel demand model, establishes the credibility of the model to predict future travel behavior. The MPOs performed both static and dynamic validation on the new models as recommended by Federal Highway Administration guidelines. Static validation includes – (i) trip generation rates, (ii) trip length frequency by purpose, (iii) average travel time by purpose, (iv) mode split by purpose, (v) traffic assignment by facility, and (vi) transit ridership. Dynamic validation included changing socioeconomic (household size, income, age distribution), land use (density, household location) and travel cost (auto operating cost and parking price) inputs.

**Modeling Interregional Trips**

The California Statewide Travel Demand Model (Statewide Model) was designed to capture the interactions of land use plans all across the State as they affect interregional travel. The model operates at a scale coarser than the SJV-MIP models. Its value is in placing local and regional travel in the context of total statewide activity. For the VMIP 2 update, interregional travel was updated to reflect the 2010 Statewide Model version. However, due to timing of the Statewide Model update, it does not incorporate the latest land-use from 2014 SJV RTPs.

For the VMIP2 model, AirSage data was used to evaluate county-to-county traffic volumes for the 8 SJV MPOs and aggregated volumes for counties outside of the San Joaquin Valley focusing exclusively on long distance trips. The Statewide Model was used to compare the magnitude of county-to-county traffic flows to AirSage. Once the magnitudes were determined to be comparable, the Statewide Model was used to develop through trips and station weights by purpose for each gateway. A process of interpolating or extrapolating, as appropriate, was implemented using the base and future year from the Statewide Model for multiple years. The Statewide Model was also used to determine the weighted average trip distance for external gateways to represent travel beyond the model area.

For the purpose of preparing the GHG emissions analysis for the 2018 RTP/SCSs, all emissions from through trips (trips without an origin and a destination in the MPO region) are excluded. In addition, the portion of VMT attributable to trips that either begin or end within the region but travel to/from neighboring regions (IX/XI) has been included for all portions of the trip within the MPO region.

Accounting for interregional travel, or travel that crosses MPO boundaries, continues to be a key issue for SB-375 implementation across the state. The issue is especially important when
considering the area covered by SJV MPOs, which in aggregate experience a higher proportion of through traffic relative to other regions (as a percent of total vehicle miles traveled). Statewide discussions to determine how to account for interregional travel across the state should continue.

It is vitally important that the current update to the Caltrans statewide model be fully completed in order for interregional trips to continue statewide conversations regarding interregional travel statewide. In addition, incorporation of SJV long-term transportation planning elements into the Statewide model is highly desired for the next update.

**Base Year Updates**

The update of the VMIP2’s 2015 base year was discussed in the previous *Socio-Economic Data* section of this document. Data sources for the distribution of 2015 households and employment were:

- 2010 U.S. Census Block Level Data for Total Households
- 2015 5yr U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) Block Group Level Data for Housing Type Distribution
- 2013 5yr U.S. Census ACS Block Group Level Data for Near Term Household Growth Areas
- 2011 to 2015 1yr ACS for Trends in Countywide Household Growth

As part of the VMIP2 model update process for the 2018 RTP/SCS, SJCOG also updated the SB 375 base year – 2005. For the 2014 RTP/SCS, a “back-cast” of the 2008 base year to 2005 was developed. When this process was originally completed, the depth of and recovery from the recession was speculative, thus appropriate growth rates to use for the back-cast by TAZ were difficult to determine. When updating the 2015 base year, full availability of 2010 block level Census data and 2015 ACS data at the block group level greatly enhanced the 2015 base year over the previous 2008 base year. For this reason, SJCOG took the step to examine available 2005 Census ACS and LEHD data sets for 2005 to construct a 2005 set based on historical data as opposed to the back-cast methodology previously employed. While this change in methodology does not significantly change overall county totals for households and employment, it does affect the distribution of socio-economic data to the TAZs, and thus VMT calculations. Moreover, technical corrections to the new 2005 SB375 base year revealed that modeled 2005 VMT was closer to the 2005 HPMS VMT. Data sources for the distribution 2005 households and employment were as follows:

- 2010 U.S. Census Block Level Data for Households (Overall & Units in Structure)
- 2000 U.S. Census Block Group Level Data for Households (Overall & Units in Structure)
- 2005 to 2009 1yr ACS data for Trends in Countywide Household Growth
- 2005 LEHD/LODES Block Level Data for Employment by NAICS sector

In addition to providing a better VMT estimate for 2005, this update provides a more conservative estimate of CO₂ emissions per capita for the base year. Specifically, the previous estimate used in the 2014 RTP/SCS was 19.7 pounds of CO₂ emissions per capita, and the updated estimate for the 2018 RTP/SCS is 18.5 pounds of CO₂ emissions per capita.
Emissions Modeling

SJCOG is using the latest version of ARB’s emissions modeling software EMFAC2014 to complete GHG emissions estimates for the SCS scenario and the alternatives.

The latest EMFAC update includes an “SB 375 Emission Analysis” mode that estimates and reports CO2 emissions in tons per day from appropriate light-duty vehicle classes (LDA, LDT1, LDT2 and MDV). In order to ensure a coordinated approach and reduce potential for user errors, EMFAC2014 modeling instructions and EMFAC output post-processing worksheet have been developed for the SJV MPOs in consultation with ARB. The approach uses Transportation Data Templates that convert VMIP2 travel model outputs into EMFAC2014 inputs including VMT and speed distributions specific to the region. Per RTAC recommendation, the VMT modeled for SB 375 purposes does not include through trips.

In addition, the 2018 RTP/SCS emissions modeling approach incorporates ARB’s “Methodology to Calculate CO2 Adjustment to EMFAC Output for SB 375 Target Demonstration.” The emissions methodology adjusts 2020 and 2035 target performance to account for fleet mix and emission factor updates between EMFAC2011 used for the 2014 RTP/SCS and EMFAC2014. The EMFAC output post-processing worksheet calculates per capita CO2 reductions from 2005 base year for 2020, 2035, and RTP horizon year 2042 using CO2 emissions modeled with EMFAC2014 and the latest population projections for the region. The spreadsheet also incorporates the ARB CO2 Adjustment Methodology by applying the difference between CO2 per capita reductions modeled with EMFAC2011 and EMFAC2014 using 2014 RTP activity data to reductions achieved by the 2018 RTP/SCS using EMFAC2014. Note that ARB has indicated that this target demonstration approach is separate from the SB 375 target setting methodology and is not directly comparable to the target recommendations SJCOG has provided to ARB.

Off-Model Adjustments

Similar to other traditional four-step travel demand models, the three-county model may not be as sensitive to the impacts of Transportation Demand Management/Transportation Systems Management (TDM/TSM) projects such as Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), bike and pedestrian projects, and rideshare programs, nor electrical vehicle penetration.

SJCOG’s RTP/SCS highlights the importance of these policies and programs and discusses the steps taken to date and those planned in the future for the region to continue to do its part to reach state goals. Since SJCOG’s RTP/SCS is meeting current greenhouse reduction targets using the methodology described in this memo, these strategies have not been explicitly quantified in this RTP/SCS; however, SJCOG’s commitment to furthering their implementation in policy is clear. SJCOG will continue to work with its partner agencies and ARB to develop these quantification methods as the focus of SCS evaluation changes over the coming year.

For reference, the following is a sampling of new and enhanced strategies currently being used in the region that reduce GHG, most of which are at least partially accounted for in the regional travel demand modeling.
“dibs” rideshare program (servicing the three counties of San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced): enhanced through an online multimodal trip planner, new vanpool voucher program, an enhanced Emergency Ride Home program and initiated the development of a marketing plan and new website

- UC Davis Institute of Transportation Studies – Rural Transit Alternatives Study
- San Joaquin Regional Transit District: mobility on demand service (similar to TNC services)
- San Joaquin Regional Transit District: conversion of BRT fleet to all-electric
- San Joaquin Valley Sustainable Goods Movement Strategy
- Interstate-5: first ever high-occupancy vehicle lane in the San Joaquin Valley
- Improvements along SR 99:
  - The reconstruction of Lathrop Road interchange added sidewalks to the existing infrastructure to enhance the safety of crossing pedestrians
  - On Main Street, Class II Bicycle Lanes and sidewalks were added to encourage active transportation and alternative travel modes
  - A new Park and Ride Lot was added at the Mariposa Road interchange
  - Pedestrian and bicycle facilities were added at the new Mariposa Road and Golden Gate Avenue interchanges, in addition to the new MLK Jr. Blvd and Main St. overcrossings
- SR 4 Crosstown Freeway Extension: A new freeway structure was built to remove truck traffic going through the highly disadvantaged community of Boggs Tract to the Port of Stockton
- SR 120/Union Road divergent diamond interchange configuration (first of its kind in California)
- ACEforward: This expansion plan calls for 10 trains to San Jose, Sacramento, and a potential direct connection to BART in Livermore
- Complete Streets:
  - South Stockton Sidewalks
  - Cherokee Road
  - Weber Avenue Beautification
  - Harrison Elementary safe routes to school
  - SJCOG is coordinating with the California Bicycle Coalition, Amador County Transportation Commission, Calaveras Council of Governments, Alpine County Local Transportation Commission, and Tuolumne County Transportation Council on Caltrans Sustainable Transportation Planning grant for an interregional study of bicycle tourism and safety improvements
- Jobs Balancing Investment Fund: incentivizes non-residential development projects that are considered a high priority to meet economic development policy objectives, providing a more tactical tool to attract employers to the region (and ultimately decrease VMT to the Bay Area and Sacramento).