



2018 Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities Strategy

Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

SCH#2017032042

prepared by

San Joaquin Council of Governments

555 East Weber Avenue

Stockton, California 95202

Contact: Kim Anderson, Senior Regional Planner

prepared with the assistance of

Rincon Consultants, Inc.

7080 North Whitney, Suite 101

Fresno, California 93720

March 2018

2018 Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities Strategy

Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

SCH#2017032042

prepared by

San Joaquin Council of Governments

555 East Weber Avenue

Stockton, California 95202

Contact: Kim Anderson, Senior Regional Planner

prepared with the assistance of

Rincon Consultants, Inc.

7080 North Whitney, Suite 101

Fresno, California 93720

March 2018

This report prepared on 50% recycled paper with 50% post-consumer content.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| Acronyms and Abbreviations..... | vii |
| Executive Summary | 1 |
| Project Summary | 1 |
| Alternatives..... | 1 |
| Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures | 2 |
| 1 Introduction..... | 35 |
| 1.1 Statement of Purpose | 35 |
| 1.2 Project Background..... | 35 |
| 1.3 Type of Environmental Document..... | 37 |
| 1.3.1 CEQA Streamlining Opportunities | 38 |
| 1.4 EIR Content and Format..... | 41 |
| 1.5 CEQA Review Process..... | 42 |
| 1.6 Lead and Responsible Agencies | 43 |
| 2 Project Description | 45 |
| 2.1 Project Objectives | 45 |
| 2.1.1 General Legislative Requirements..... | 45 |
| 2.1.2 SB 375 Requirements..... | 46 |
| 2.1.3 Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act (Fast Act) | 47 |
| 2.1.4 Planning Final Rule – FAST Act..... | 48 |
| 2.1.5 MAP-21 | 48 |
| 2.1.6 Measure K Expenditure Plan | 49 |
| 2.1.7 Metropolitan/Regional Transportation Plans..... | 49 |
| 2.1.8 Local Objectives | 50 |
| 2.2 Project Location | 50 |
| 2.3 Project Characteristics | 52 |
| 2.3.1 Policy Element | 53 |
| 2.3.2 Financial Element..... | 53 |
| 2.3.3 Action Element | 53 |
| 2.3.4 Sustainable Communities Strategy..... | 54 |
| 2.4 2018 RTP/SCS Transportation Projects..... | 54 |
| 2.5 Intended Use of EIR..... | 56 |
| 2.5.1 Agencies Expected to Use EIR in Decision-making | 56 |
| 2.5.2 Project Permits and Approvals | 58 |
| 2.6 Relationship with Other Plans and Programs | 59 |
| 3 Environmental Setting and Impact Analysis Approach..... | 61 |
| 3.1 Regional Setting | 61 |
| 3.2 Regional Growth Setting | 61 |
| 3.3 Regional Transportation System..... | 63 |
| 3.3.1 San Joaquin County..... | 63 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| 3.4 | EIR Baseline, Approach for Direct and Cumulative Analyses..... | 64 |
| 3.4.1 | EIR Baseline..... | 64 |
| 3.4.2 | Approach for Direct Analysis | 65 |
| 3.4.3 | Approach for Cumulative Analysis..... | 66 |
| 4 | Environmental Impact Analysis | 67 |
| 4.1 | Aesthetics/Visual Resources | 69 |
| 4.1.1 | Setting..... | 69 |
| 4.1.2 | Impact Analysis | 78 |
| 4.2 | Agriculture and Forestry Resources..... | 87 |
| 4.2.1 | Setting..... | 87 |
| 4.2.2 | Impact Analysis | 92 |
| 4.3 | Air Quality | 99 |
| 4.3.1 | Setting..... | 99 |
| 4.3.2 | Impact Analysis | 111 |
| 4.4 | Biological Resources..... | 121 |
| 4.4.1 | Setting..... | 121 |
| 4.4.2 | Impact Analysis | 139 |
| 4.5 | Cultural Resources | 157 |
| 4.5.1 | Setting..... | 157 |
| 4.5.2 | Impact Analysis | 161 |
| 4.6 | Energy | 171 |
| 4.6.1 | Setting..... | 171 |
| 4.6.2 | Impact Analysis | 181 |
| 4.7 | Environmental Justice | 189 |
| 4.7.1 | Setting..... | 189 |
| 4.7.2 | Impact Analysis | 196 |
| 4.8 | Geology and Soils | 201 |
| 4.8.1 | Setting..... | 201 |
| 4.8.2 | Impact Analysis | 207 |
| 4.9 | Greenhouse Gas Emissions/Climate Change | 213 |
| 4.9.1 | Setting..... | 213 |
| 4.9.2 | Impact Analysis | 220 |
| 4.10 | Hazards and Hazardous Materials | 229 |
| 4.10.1 | Setting..... | 229 |
| 4.10.2 | Impact Analysis | 241 |
| 4.11 | Hydrology and Water Quality | 253 |
| 4.11.1 | Setting..... | 253 |
| 4.11.2 | Impact Analysis | 274 |
| 4.12 | Land Use..... | 287 |
| 4.12.1 | Setting..... | 287 |
| 4.12.2 | Impact Analysis | 319 |
| 4.13 | Noise | 325 |
| 4.13.1 | Setting..... | 325 |
| 4.13.2 | Impact Analysis | 337 |
| 4.14 | Transportation | 347 |
| 4.14.1 | Setting..... | 347 |
| 4.14.2 | Impact Analysis | 358 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| 4.15 | Tribal Cultural Resources | 367 |
| 4.15.1 | Setting | 367 |
| 4.15.2 | Impact Analysis | 368 |
| 4.16 | Less than Significant Environmental Factors | 371 |
| 4.16.1 | Geology and Soils..... | 371 |
| 4.16.2 | Mineral Resources | 371 |
| 4.16.3 | Noise | 371 |
| 4.16.4 | Population and Housing..... | 372 |
| 4.16.5 | Public Services | 372 |
| 4.16.6 | Recreation..... | 372 |
| 4.16.7 | Utilities and Service Systems | 373 |
| 5 | Other Statutory Considerations..... | 375 |
| 5.1 | Growth Inducing Impacts..... | 375 |
| 5.1.1 | Employment, Household, and Population Growth | 375 |
| 5.1.2 | Removal of Obstacles to Growth..... | 376 |
| 5.2 | Irreversible Effects | 376 |
| 5.3 | List of Significant and Unavoidable Impacts | 377 |
| 6 | Alternatives..... | 379 |
| 6.1 | Alternatives Development and Screening Process | 379 |
| 6.2 | Alternatives Eliminated from Detailed Consideration | 380 |
| 6.3 | Alternative 1: No Project Alternative..... | 380 |
| 6.3.1 | Description..... | 380 |
| 6.3.2 | Impact Analysis | 381 |
| 6.4 | Alternative 2: Business As Usual | 386 |
| 6.4.1 | Description..... | 386 |
| 6.4.2 | Impact Analysis | 387 |
| 6.5 | Alternative 3: Compact Development | 391 |
| 6.5.1 | Description..... | 391 |
| 6.5.2 | Impact Analysis | 392 |
| 6.6 | Environmentally Superior Alternative | 396 |
| 7 | References and Preparers..... | 399 |
| 7.1 | References | 399 |
| 7.2 | List of Preparers | 406 |

Tables

| | | |
|---------|---|-----|
| Table 1 | Summary of Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Measures, and Residual Impacts | 3 |
| Table 2 | Officially Designated State Scenic Highways – San Joaquin County | 73 |
| Table 3 | San Joaquin County Designated Routes..... | 73 |
| Table 4 | Important Agriculture Land Conversion by County 2012-2014 | 88 |
| Table 5 | Description of Criteria Pollutants..... | 101 |
| Table 6 | Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards | 105 |
| Table 7 | Criteria Pollutant Attainment Status for San Joaquin Valley Air Basin | 106 |
| Table 8 | Maximum Sampled Pollutant Concentrations for San Joaquin County (2014-2016) | 108 |

San Joaquin Council of Governments
2018 Regional Transportation Plan/ Sustainable Communities Strategy

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| Table 9 | Emissions Inventory and Forecasts for ROG and NO _x | 108 |
| Table 10 | Emissions Inventory and Forecasts for PM ₁₀ | 108 |
| Table 11 | Regional On-Road Motor Vehicle Emissions of Criteria Pollutants | 113 |
| Table 12 | PM _{2.5} Emissions from Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles..... | 116 |
| Table 13 | Sensitive Communities Documented within San Joaquin County | 130 |
| Table 14 | Historical Resources in San Joaquin County | 159 |
| Table 15 | 2015 SJCOG Oil and Natural Gas Production | 171 |
| Table 16 | 2015 SJCOG Electricity and Natural Gas Consumption..... | 173 |
| Table 17 | 2015 Daily and Annual VMT for the SJCOG Region | 174 |
| Table 18 | 2015 Fuel Consumption in the SJCOG region | 174 |
| Table 19 | Indirect Energy Consumption Factors..... | 182 |
| Table 20 | Direct and Indirect Transportation Energy Use | 184 |
| Table 21 | Absolute and Relative Criteria used to Evaluate Environmental Justice Communities.. | 190 |
| Table 22 | Race and Ethnicity in San Joaquin County, 2015 | 191 |
| Table 23 | Income and Poverty Rate in San Joaquin County, 2015 | 192 |
| Table 24 | Factors that Affect Mobility in San Joaquin County, 2015..... | 194 |
| Table 25 | Local GHG Reduction Plans in the SJCOG Region | 219 |
| Table 26 | Net Change in Operational GHG Emissions (tons/day)..... | 223 |
| Table 27 | SB 375 Regional Emissions and Targets | 225 |
| Table 28 | Reservoirs in San Joaquin County | 254 |
| Table 29 | 2010 303(d) List of Impaired Water Bodies – San Joaquin County..... | 256 |
| Table 30 | San Joaquin County Water Resources | 260 |
| Table 31 | San Joaquin County Urban Water Demand | 262 |
| Table 32 | San Joaquin County Applied Water Demands for Agricultural Use | 262 |
| Table 33 | Population Forecasts..... | 289 |
| Table 34 | Housing Forecasts | 289 |
| Table 35 | Employment by Industry in San Joaquin County | 290 |
| Table 36 | Exterior Noise Exposure Adjacent to Nearby Rail Lines..... | 330 |
| Table 37 | Noise Abatement Criteria | 332 |
| Table 38 | Typical Construction Noise Levels (dBA)..... | 338 |
| Table 39 | Screening Distances for Noise Assessments – Rail Transit Projects | 340 |
| Table 40 | Screening Distances for Noise Assessments – Bus Transit Projects | 341 |
| Table 41 | Level of Service Definitions/Characteristics..... | 349 |

Table 42 Summary of RTP Investments360

Table 43 Vehicle Activity and System Performance361

Table 44 Congested Lane Miles Summary.....363

Table 45 Impact Comparison of Alternatives398

Figures

Figure 1 CEQA Environmental Review Process44

Figure 2 Project Location51

Figure 3 RTP Projects San Joaquin County57

Figure 4 SCS Land Use San Joaquin County62

Figure 5 RTP/SCS Projects and San Joaquin County Designated Scenic Routes75

Figure 6 Important Farmland in San Joaquin County89

Figure 7 San Joaquin Valley Air Basin.....100

Figure 8 SJVAB Air Quality Monitoring Stations (2017)107

Figure 9 California Wildlife Habitat Relationships: San Joaquin County.....122

Figure 10 National Wetlands Inventory: San Joaquin County128

Figure 11 Federally Designated Critical Habitat: San Joaquin County132

Figure 12 Essential Connectivity Areas within San Joaquin County134

Figure 13 San Joaquin County Active Faults203

Figure 14 San Joaquin County Flood Map.....264

Figure 15 San Joaquin County Railroad Lines329

Appendices

Appendix A Notice of Preparation and NOP Response Letters

Appendix B 2018 RTP/SCS Transportation Project List

Appendix C Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Appendix D Special Status Species

Appendix E AB 52 Consultation

This page intentionally left blank.